

The title of this chapter expresses in a few words the mathematical knowledge required to read this book. In fact, this short chapter is simply an explanation of what is meant by the “basic properties of numbers,” all of which—addition and multiplication, subtraction and division, solutions of equations and inequalities, factoring and other algebraic manipulations—are already familiar to us. Nevertheless, this chapter is not a review. Despite the familiarity of the subject, the survey we are about to undertake will probably seem quite novel; it does not aim to present an extended review of old material, but to condense this knowledge into a few simple and obvious properties of numbers. Some may even seem too obvious to mention, but a surprising number of diverse and important facts turn out to be consequences of the ones we shall emphasize.

Of the twelve properties which we shall study in this chapter, the first nine are concerned with the fundamental operations of addition and multiplication. For the moment we consider only addition: this operation is performed on a pair of numbers—the sum $a + b$ exists for any two given numbers a and b (which may possibly be the same number, of course). It might seem reasonable to regard addition as an operation which can be performed on several numbers at once, and consider the sum $a_1 + \cdots + a_n$ of n numbers a_1, \dots, a_n as a basic concept. It is more convenient, however, to consider addition of pairs of numbers only, and to define other sums in terms of sums of this type. For the sum of three numbers a , b , and c , this may be done in two different ways. One can first add b and c , obtaining $b + c$, and then add a to this number, obtaining $a + (b + c)$; or one can first add a and b , and then add the sum $a + b$ to c , obtaining $(a + b) + c$. Of course, the two compound sums obtained are equal, and this fact is the very first property we shall list:

(P1) If a , b , and c are any numbers, then

$$a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c.$$

The statement of this property clearly renders a separate concept of the sum of three numbers superfluous; we simply agree that $a + b + c$ denotes the number $a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c$. Addition of four numbers requires similar, though slightly more involved, considerations. The symbol $a + b + c + d$ is defined to mean

- (1) $((a + b) + c) + d$,
- or (2) $(a + (b + c)) + d$,
- or (3) $a + ((b + c) + d)$,
- or (4) $a + (b + (c + d))$,
- or (5) $(a + b) + (c + d)$.

This definition is unambiguous since these numbers are all equal. Fortunately, *this* fact need not be listed separately, since it follows from the property P1 already listed. For example, we know from P1 that

$$(a + b) + c = a + (b + c),$$

and it follows immediately that (1) and (2) are equal. The equality of (2) and (3) is a direct consequence of P1, although this may not be apparent at first sight (one must let $b + c$ play the role of b in P1, and d the role of c). The equalities (3) = (4) = (5) are also simple to prove.

It is probably obvious that an appeal to P1 will also suffice to prove the equality of the 14 possible ways of summing five numbers, but it may not be so clear how we can reasonably arrange a proof that this is so without actually listing these 14 sums. Such a procedure is feasible, but would soon cease to be if we considered collections of six, seven, or more numbers; it would be totally inadequate to prove the equality of all possible sums of an arbitrary finite collection of numbers a_1, \dots, a_n . This fact may be taken for granted, but for those who would like to worry about the proof (and it is worth worrying about once) a reasonable approach is outlined in Problem 24. Henceforth, we shall usually make a tacit appeal to the results of this problem and write sums $a_1 + \dots + a_n$ with a blithe disregard for the arrangement of parentheses.

The number 0 has one property so important that we list it next:

(P2) If a is any number, then

$$a + 0 = 0 + a = a.$$

An important role is also played by 0 in the third property of our list:

(P3) For every number a , there is a number $-a$ such that

$$a + (-a) = (-a) + a = 0.$$

Property P2 ought to represent a distinguishing characteristic of the number 0, and it is comforting to note that we are already in a position to prove this. Indeed, if a number x satisfies

$$a + x = a$$

for any one number a , then $x = 0$ (and consequently this equation also holds for all numbers a). The proof of this assertion involves nothing more than subtracting a from both sides of the equation, in other words, adding $-a$ to both sides; as the following detailed proof shows, all three properties P1–P3 must be used to justify this operation.

If	$a + x = a,$
then	$(-a) + (a + x) = (-a) + a = 0;$
hence	$((-a) + a) + x = 0;$
hence	$0 + x = 0;$
hence	$x = 0.$

As we have just hinted, it is convenient to regard subtraction as an operation derived from addition: we consider $a - b$ to be an abbreviation for $a + (-b)$. It is then possible to find the solution of certain simple equations by a series of steps (each justified by P1, P2, or P3) similar to the ones just presented for the equation $a + x = a$. For example:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{If} & x + 3 = 5, \\ \text{then} & (x + 3) + (-3) = 5 + (-3); \\ \text{hence} & x + (3 + (-3)) = 5 - 3 = 2; \\ \text{hence} & x + 0 = 2; \\ \text{hence} & x = 2. \end{array}$$

Naturally, such elaborate solutions are of interest only until you become convinced that they can always be supplied. In practice, it is usually just a waste of time to solve an equation by indicating so explicitly the reliance on properties P1, P2, and P3 (or any of the further properties we shall list).

Only one other property of addition remains to be listed. When considering the sums of three numbers a , b , and c , only two sums were mentioned: $(a + b) + c$ and $a + (b + c)$. Actually, several other arrangements are obtained if the order of a , b , and c is changed. That these sums are all equal depends on

(P4) If a and b are any numbers, then

$$a + b = b + a.$$

The statement of P4 is meant to emphasize that although the operation of addition of pairs of numbers might conceivably depend on the order of the two numbers, in fact it does not. It is helpful to remember that not all operations are so well behaved. For example, subtraction does not have this property: usually $a - b \neq b - a$. In passing we might ask just when $a - b$ does equal $b - a$, and it is amusing to discover how powerless we are if we rely only on properties P1–P4 to justify our manipulations. Algebra of the most elementary variety shows that $a - b = b - a$ only when $a = b$. Nevertheless, it is impossible to derive this fact from properties P1–P4; it is instructive to examine the elementary algebra carefully and determine which step(s) cannot be justified by P1–P4. We will indeed be able to justify all steps in detail when a few more properties are listed. Oddly enough, however, the crucial property involves multiplication.

The basic properties of multiplication are fortunately so similar to those for addition that little comment will be needed; both the meaning and the consequences should be clear. (As in elementary algebra, the product of a and b will be denoted by $a \cdot b$, or simply ab .)

(P5) If a , b , and c are any numbers, then

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c.$$

(P6) If a is any number, then

$$a \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot a = a.$$

Moreover, $1 \neq 0$.

(The assertion that $1 \neq 0$ may seem a strange fact to list, but we have to list it, because there is no way it could possibly be proved on the basis of the other properties listed—these properties would all hold if there were only one number, namely, 0.)

(P7) For every number $a \neq 0$, there is a number a^{-1} such that

$$a \cdot a^{-1} = a^{-1} \cdot a = 1.$$

(P8) If a and b are any numbers, then

$$a \cdot b = b \cdot a.$$

One detail which deserves emphasis is the appearance of the condition $a \neq 0$ in P7. This condition is quite necessary; since $0 \cdot b = 0$ for all numbers b , there is *no* number 0^{-1} satisfying $0 \cdot 0^{-1} = 1$. This restriction has an important consequence for division. Just as subtraction was defined in terms of addition, so division is defined in terms of multiplication: The symbol a/b means $a \cdot b^{-1}$. Since 0^{-1} is meaningless, $a/0$ is also meaningless—division by 0 is *always* undefined.

Property P7 has two important consequences. If $a \cdot b = a \cdot c$, it does not necessarily follow that $b = c$; for if $a = 0$, then both $a \cdot b$ and $a \cdot c$ are 0, no matter what b and c are. However, if $a \neq 0$, then $b = c$; this can be deduced from P7 as follows:

If $a \cdot b = a \cdot c$ and $a \neq 0$,
 then $a^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot b) = a^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot c)$;
 hence $(a^{-1} \cdot a) \cdot b = (a^{-1} \cdot a) \cdot c$;
 hence $1 \cdot b = 1 \cdot c$;
 hence $b = c$.

It is also a consequence of P7 that if $a \cdot b = 0$, then either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$. In fact,

if $a \cdot b = 0$ and $a \neq 0$,
 then $a^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot b) = 0$;
 hence $(a^{-1} \cdot a) \cdot b = 0$;
 hence $1 \cdot b = 0$;
 hence $b = 0$.

(It may happen that $a = 0$ and $b = 0$ are both true; this possibility is not excluded when we say “either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ ”; in mathematics “or” is always used in the sense of “one or the other, or both.”)

This latter consequence of P7 is constantly used in the solution of equations. Suppose, for example, that a number x is known to satisfy

$$(x - 1)(x - 2) = 0.$$

Then it follows that either $x - 1 = 0$ or $x - 2 = 0$; hence $x = 1$ or $x = 2$.

On the basis of the eight properties listed so far it is still possible to prove very little. Listing the next property, which combines the operations of addition and multiplication, will alter this situation drastically.

(P9) If a , b , and c are any numbers, then

$$a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c.$$

(Notice that the equation $(b + c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a$ is also true, by P8.)

As an example of the usefulness of P9 we will now determine just when $a - b = b - a$:

If	$a - b = b - a,$
then	$(a - b) + b = (b - a) + b = b + (b - a);$
hence	$a = b + b - a;$
hence	$a + a = (b + b - a) + a = b + b.$
Consequently	$a \cdot (1 + 1) = b \cdot (1 + 1),$
and therefore	$a = b.$

A second use of P9 is the justification of the assertion $a \cdot 0 = 0$ which we have already made, and even used in a proof on page 6 (can you find where?). This fact was not listed as one of the basic properties, even though no proof was offered when it was first mentioned. With P1–P8 alone a proof was not possible, since the number 0 appears only in P2 and P3, which concern addition, while the assertion in question involves multiplication. With P9 the proof is simple, though perhaps not obvious: We have

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot 0 + a \cdot 0 &= a \cdot (0 + 0) \\ &= a \cdot 0; \end{aligned}$$

as we have already noted, this immediately implies (by adding $-(a \cdot 0)$ to both sides) that $a \cdot 0 = 0$.

A series of further consequences of P9 may help explain the somewhat mysterious rule that the product of two negative numbers is positive. To begin with, we will establish the more easily acceptable assertion that $(-a) \cdot b = -(a \cdot b)$. To prove this, note that

$$\begin{aligned} (-a) \cdot b + a \cdot b &= [(-a) + a] \cdot b \\ &= 0 \cdot b \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows immediately (by adding $-(a \cdot b)$ to both sides) that $(-a) \cdot b = -(a \cdot b)$. Now note that

$$\begin{aligned} (-a) \cdot (-b) + [-(a \cdot b)] &= (-a) \cdot (-b) + (-a) \cdot b \\ &= (-a) \cdot [(-b) + b] \\ &= (-a) \cdot 0 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, adding $(a \cdot b)$ to both sides, we obtain

$$(-a) \cdot (-b) = a \cdot b.$$

The fact that the product of two negative numbers is positive is thus a consequence of P1–P9. In other words, *if we want P1 to P9 to be true, the rule for the product of two negative numbers is forced upon us.*

The various consequences of P9 examined so far, although interesting and important, do not really indicate the significance of P9; after all, we could have listed each of these properties separately. Actually, P9 is the justification for almost all algebraic manipulations. For example, although we have shown how to solve the equation

$$(x - 1)(x - 2) = 0,$$

we can hardly expect to be presented with an equation in this form. We are more likely to be confronted with the equation

$$x^2 - 3x + 2 = 0.$$

The “factorization” $x^2 - 3x + 2 = (x - 1)(x - 2)$ is really a triple use of P9:

$$\begin{aligned} (x - 1) \cdot (x - 2) &= x \cdot (x - 2) + (-1) \cdot (x - 2) \\ &= x \cdot x + x \cdot (-2) + (-1) \cdot x + (-1) \cdot (-2) \\ &= x^2 + x[(-2) + (-1)] + 2 \\ &= x^2 - 3x + 2. \end{aligned}$$

A final illustration of the importance of P9 is the fact that this property is actually used every time one multiplies arabic numerals. For example, the calculation

$$\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ \times 24 \\ \hline 52 \\ 26 \\ \hline 312 \end{array}$$

is a concise arrangement for the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} 13 \cdot 24 &= 13 \cdot (2 \cdot 10 + 4) \\ &= 13 \cdot 2 \cdot 10 + 13 \cdot 4 \\ &= 26 \cdot 10 + 52. \end{aligned}$$

(Note that moving 26 to the left in the above calculation is the same as writing $26 \cdot 10$.) The multiplication $13 \cdot 4 = 52$ uses P9 also:

$$\begin{aligned} 13 \cdot 4 &= (1 \cdot 10 + 3) \cdot 4 \\ &= 1 \cdot 10 \cdot 4 + 3 \cdot 4 \\ &= 4 \cdot 10 + 12 \\ &= 4 \cdot 10 + 1 \cdot 10 + 2 \\ &= (4 + 1) \cdot 10 + 2 \\ &= 5 \cdot 10 + 2 \\ &= 52. \end{aligned}$$

The properties P1–P9 have descriptive names which are not essential to remember, but which are often convenient for reference. We will take this opportunity to list properties P1–P9 together and indicate the names by which they are commonly designated.

(P1)	(Associative law for addition)	$a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c.$
(P2)	(Existence of an additive identity)	$a + 0 = 0 + a = a.$
(P3)	(Existence of additive inverses)	$a + (-a) = (-a) + a = 0.$
(P4)	(Commutative law for addition)	$a + b = b + a.$
(P5)	(Associative law for multiplication)	$a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c.$
(P6)	(Existence of a multiplicative identity)	$a \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot a = a; \quad 1 \neq 0.$
(P7)	(Existence of multiplicative inverses)	$a \cdot a^{-1} = a^{-1} \cdot a = 1, \text{ for } a \neq 0.$
(P8)	(Commutative law for multiplication)	$a \cdot b = b \cdot a.$
(P9)	(Distributive law)	$a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c.$

The three basic properties of numbers which remain to be listed are concerned with inequalities. Although inequalities occur rarely in elementary mathematics, they play a prominent role in calculus. The two notions of inequality, $a < b$ (a is less than b) and $a > b$ (a is greater than b), are intimately related: $a < b$ means the same as $b > a$ (thus $1 < 3$ and $3 > 1$ are merely two ways of writing the same assertion). The numbers a satisfying $a > 0$ are called **positive**, while those numbers a satisfying $a < 0$ are called **negative**. While positivity can thus be defined in terms of $<$, it is possible to reverse the procedure: $a < b$ can be defined to mean that $b - a$ is positive. In fact, it is convenient to consider the collection of all positive numbers, denoted by P , as the basic concept, and state all properties in terms of P :

- (P10) (Trichotomy law) For every number a , one and only one of the following holds:
- (i) $a = 0$,
 - (ii) a is in the collection P ,
 - (iii) $-a$ is in the collection P .
- (P11) (Closure under addition) If a and b are in P , then $a + b$ is in P .
- (P12) (Closure under multiplication) If a and b are in P , then $a \cdot b$ is in P .

These three properties should be complemented with the following definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} a > b & \text{ if } a - b \text{ is in } P; \\ a < b & \text{ if } b > a; \\ a \geq b & \text{ if } a > b \text{ or } a = b; \\ a \leq b & \text{ if } a < b \text{ or } a = b.* \end{aligned}$$

Note, in particular, that $a > 0$ if and only if a is in P .

All the familiar facts about inequalities, however elementary they may seem, are consequences of P10–P12. For example, if a and b are any two numbers, then precisely one of the following holds:

- (i) $a - b = 0$,
- (ii) $a - b$ is in the collection P ,
- (iii) $-(a - b) = b - a$ is in the collection P .

Using the definitions just made, it follows that precisely one of the following holds:

- (i) $a = b$,
- (ii) $a > b$,
- (iii) $b > a$.

A slightly more interesting fact results from the following manipulations. If $a < b$, so that $b - a$ is in P , then surely $(b + c) - (a + c)$ is in P ; thus, if $a < b$, then $a + c < b + c$. Similarly, suppose $a < b$ and $b < c$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & b - a \text{ is in } P, \\ \text{and } & c - b \text{ is in } P, \\ \text{so } & c - a = (c - b) + (b - a) \text{ is in } P. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that if $a < b$ and $b < c$, then $a < c$. (The two inequalities $a < b$ and $b < c$ are usually written in the abbreviated form $a < b < c$, which has the third inequality $a < c$ almost built in.)

The following assertion is somewhat less obvious: If $a < 0$ and $b < 0$, then $ab > 0$. The only difficulty presented by the proof is the unraveling of definitions. The symbol $a < 0$ means, by definition, $0 > a$, which means $0 - a = -a$ is in P . Similarly $-b$ is in P , and consequently, by P12, $(-a)(-b) = ab$ is in P . Thus $ab > 0$.

The fact that $ab > 0$ if $a > 0$, $b > 0$ and also if $a < 0$, $b < 0$ has one special consequence: $a^2 > 0$ if $a \neq 0$. Thus squares of nonzero numbers are always positive, and in particular we have proved a result which might have seemed sufficiently elementary to be included in our list of properties: $1 > 0$ (since $1 = 1^2$).

*There is one slightly perplexing feature of the symbols \geq and \leq . The statements

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + 1 & \leq 3 \\ 1 + 1 & \leq 2 \end{aligned}$$

are both true, even though we know that \leq could be replaced by $<$ in the first, and by $=$ in the second. This sort of thing is bound to occur when \leq is used with specific numbers; the usefulness of the symbol is revealed by a statement like Theorem 1—here equality holds for some values of a and b , while inequality holds for other values.

The fact that $-a > 0$ if $a < 0$ is the basis of a concept which will play an extremely important role in this book. For any number a , we define the **absolute value** $|a|$ of a as follows:

$$|a| = \begin{cases} a, & a \geq 0 \\ -a, & a \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that $|a|$ is always positive, except when $a = 0$. For example, we have $|-3| = 3$, $|7| = 7$, $|1 + \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}| = 1 + \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}$, and $|1 + \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{10}| = \sqrt{10} - \sqrt{2} - 1$. In general, the most straightforward approach to any problem involving absolute values requires treating several cases separately, since absolute values are defined by cases to begin with. This approach may be used to prove the following very important fact about absolute values.

THEOREM 1 For all numbers a and b , we have

$$|a + b| \leq |a| + |b|.$$

PROOF We will consider 4 cases:

- (1) $a \geq 0, b \geq 0;$
- (2) $a \geq 0, b \leq 0;$
- (3) $a \leq 0, b \geq 0;$
- (4) $a \leq 0, b \leq 0.$

In case (1) we also have $a + b \geq 0$, and the theorem is obvious; in fact,

$$|a + b| = a + b = |a| + |b|,$$

so that in this case equality holds.

In case (4) we have $a + b \leq 0$, and again equality holds:

$$|a + b| = -(a + b) = -a + (-b) = |a| + |b|.$$

In case (2), when $a \geq 0$ and $b \leq 0$, we must prove that

$$|a + b| \leq a - b.$$

This case may therefore be divided into two subcases. If $a + b \geq 0$, then we must prove that

$$\begin{aligned} a + b &\leq a - b, \\ \text{i.e., } b &\leq -b, \end{aligned}$$

which is certainly true since $b \leq 0$ and hence $-b \geq 0$. On the other hand, if $a + b \leq 0$, we must prove that

$$\begin{aligned} -a - b &\leq a - b, \\ \text{i.e., } -a &\leq a, \end{aligned}$$

which is certainly true since $a \geq 0$ and hence $-a \leq 0$.

Finally, note that case (3) may be disposed of with no additional work, by applying case (2) with a and b interchanged. ■

Although this method of treating absolute values (separate consideration of various cases) is sometimes the only approach available, there are often simpler methods which may be used. In fact, it is possible to give a much shorter proof of Theorem 1; this proof is motivated by the observation that

$$|a| = \sqrt{a^2}.$$

(Here, and throughout the book, \sqrt{x} denotes the *positive* square root of x ; this symbol is defined only when $x \geq 0$.) We may now observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (|a + b|)^2 &= (a + b)^2 = a^2 + 2ab + b^2 \\ &\leq a^2 + 2|a| \cdot |b| + b^2 \\ &= |a|^2 + 2|a| \cdot |b| + |b|^2 \\ &= (|a| + |b|)^2. \end{aligned}$$

From this we can conclude that $|a + b| \leq |a| + |b|$ because $x^2 < y^2$ implies $x < y$, provided that x and y are both nonnegative; a proof of *this* fact is left to the reader (Problem 5).

One final observation may be made about the theorem we have just proved: a close examination of either proof offered shows that

$$|a + b| = |a| + |b|$$

if a and b have the same sign (i.e., are both positive or both negative), or if one of the two is 0, while

$$|a + b| < |a| + |b|$$

if a and b are of opposite signs.

We will conclude this chapter with a subtle point, neglected until now, whose inclusion is required in a conscientious survey of the properties of numbers. After stating property P9, we proved that $a - b = b - a$ implies $a = b$. The proof began by establishing that

$$a \cdot (1 + 1) = b \cdot (1 + 1),$$

from which we concluded that $a = b$. This result is obtained from the equation $a \cdot (1 + 1) = b \cdot (1 + 1)$ by dividing both sides by $1 + 1$. Division by 0 should be avoided scrupulously, and it must therefore be admitted that the validity of the argument depends on knowing that $1 + 1 \neq 0$. Problem 25 is designed to convince you that this fact cannot possibly be proved from properties P1–P9 alone! Once P10, P11, and P12 are available, however, the proof is very simple: We have already seen that $1 > 0$; it follows that $1 + 1 > 0$, and in particular $1 + 1 \neq 0$.

This last demonstration has perhaps only strengthened your feeling that it is absurd to bother proving such obvious facts, but an honest assessment of our present situation will help justify serious consideration of such details. In this chapter we have assumed that numbers are familiar objects, and that P1–P12 are merely explicit statements of obvious, well-known properties of numbers. It would be difficult, however, to justify this assumption. Although one learns how to “work with” numbers in school, just what numbers *are*, remains rather vague. A great deal of this book is devoted to elucidating the concept of numbers, and by the end

of the book we will have become quite well acquainted with them. But it will be necessary to work with numbers throughout the book. It is therefore reasonable to admit frankly that we do not yet thoroughly understand numbers; we may still say that, in whatever way numbers are finally defined, they should certainly have properties P1–P12.

Most of this chapter has been an attempt to present convincing evidence that P1–P12 are indeed basic properties which we should assume in order to deduce other familiar properties of numbers. Some of the problems (which indicate the derivation of other facts about numbers from P1–P12) are offered as further evidence. It is still a crucial question whether P1–P12 actually account for *all* properties of numbers. As a matter of fact, we shall soon see that they do *not*. In the next chapter the deficiencies of properties P1–P12 will become quite clear, but the proper means for correcting these deficiencies is not so easily discovered. The crucial additional basic property of numbers which we are seeking is profound and subtle, quite unlike P1–P12. The discovery of this crucial property will require all the work of Part II of this book. In the remainder of Part I we will begin to see why some additional property is required; in order to investigate this we will have to consider a little more carefully what we mean by “numbers.”

PROBLEMS

1. Prove the following:

- (i) If $ax = a$ for some number $a \neq 0$, then $x = 1$.
- (ii) $x^2 - y^2 = (x - y)(x + y)$.
- (iii) If $x^2 = y^2$, then $x = y$ or $x = -y$.
- (iv) $x^3 - y^3 = (x - y)(x^2 + xy + y^2)$.
- (v) $x^n - y^n = (x - y)(x^{n-1} + x^{n-2}y + \dots + xy^{n-2} + y^{n-1})$.
- (vi) $x^3 + y^3 = (x + y)(x^2 - xy + y^2)$. (There is a particularly easy way to do this, using (iv), and it will show you how to find a factorization for $x^n + y^n$ whenever n is odd.)

2. What is wrong with the following “proof”? Let $x = y$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 &= xy, \\ x^2 - y^2 &= xy - y^2, \\ (x + y)(x - y) &= y(x - y), \\ x + y &= y, \\ 2y &= y, \\ 2 &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

3. Prove the following:

- (i) $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{ac}{bc}$, if $b, c \neq 0$.
- (ii) $\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ad + bc}{bd}$, if $b, d \neq 0$.

- (iii) $(ab)^{-1} = a^{-1}b^{-1}$, if $a, b \neq 0$. (To do this you must remember the defining property of $(ab)^{-1}$.)
- (iv) $\frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ac}{db}$, if $b, d \neq 0$.
- (v) $\frac{a}{b} \bigg/ \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ad}{bc}$, if $b, c, d \neq 0$.
- (vi) If $b, d \neq 0$, then $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{c}{d}$ if and only if $ad = bc$. Also determine when $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{b}{a}$.

4. Find all numbers x for which

- (i) $4 - x < 3 - 2x$.
- (ii) $5 - x^2 < 8$.
- (iii) $5 - x^2 < -2$.
- (iv) $(x - 1)(x - 3) > 0$. (When is a product of two numbers positive?)
- (v) $x^2 - 2x + 2 > 0$.
- (vi) $x^2 + x + 1 > 2$.
- (vii) $x^2 - x + 10 > 16$.
- (viii) $x^2 + x + 1 > 0$.
- (ix) $(x - \pi)(x + 5)(x - 3) > 0$.
- (x) $(x - \sqrt[3]{2})(x - \sqrt{2}) > 0$.
- (xi) $2^x < 8$.
- (xii) $x + 3^x < 4$.
- (xiii) $\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{1 - x} > 0$.
- (xiv) $\frac{x - 1}{x + 1} > 0$.

5. Prove the following:

- (i) If $a < b$ and $c < d$, then $a + c < b + d$.
- (ii) If $a < b$, then $-b < -a$.
- (iii) If $a < b$ and $c > d$, then $a - c < b - d$.
- (iv) If $a < b$ and $c > 0$, then $ac < bc$.
- (v) If $a < b$ and $c < 0$, then $ac > bc$.
- (vi) If $a > 1$, then $a^2 > a$.
- (vii) If $0 < a < 1$, then $a^2 < a$.
- (viii) If $0 \leq a < b$ and $0 \leq c < d$, then $ac < bd$.
- (ix) If $0 \leq a < b$, then $a^2 < b^2$. (Use (viii).)
- (x) If $a, b \geq 0$ and $a^2 < b^2$, then $a < b$. (Use (ix), backwards.)
6. (a) Prove that if $0 \leq x < y$, then $x^n < y^n$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$
- (b) Prove that if $x < y$ and n is odd, then $x^n < y^n$.
- (c) Prove that if $x^n = y^n$ and n is odd, then $x = y$.
- (d) Prove that if $x^n = y^n$ and n is even, then $x = y$ or $x = -y$.

7. Prove that if $0 < a < b$, then

$$a < \sqrt{ab} < \frac{a+b}{2} < b.$$

Notice that the inequality $\sqrt{ab} \leq (a+b)/2$ holds for all $a, b \geq 0$. A generalization of this fact occurs in Problem 2-22.

- *8. Although the basic properties of inequalities were stated in terms of the collection P of all positive numbers, and $<$ was defined in terms of P , this procedure can be reversed. Suppose that P10–P12 are replaced by

(P'10) For any numbers a and b one, and only one, of the following holds:

- (i) $a = b$,
- (ii) $a < b$,
- (iii) $b < a$.

(P'11) For any numbers a, b , and c , if $a < b$ and $b < c$, then $a < c$.

(P'12) For any numbers a, b , and c , if $a < b$, then $a + c < b + c$.

(P'13) For any numbers a, b , and c , if $a < b$ and $0 < c$, then $ac < bc$.

Show that P10–P12 can then be deduced as theorems.

9. Express each of the following with at least one less pair of absolute value signs.

- (i) $|\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3} - \sqrt{5} + \sqrt{7}|$.
- (ii) $|(|a + b| - |a| - |b|)|$.
- (iii) $|(|a + b| + |c| - |a + b + c|)|$.
- (iv) $|x^2 - 2xy + y^2|$.
- (v) $|(|\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}| - |\sqrt{5} - \sqrt{7}|)|$.

10. Express each of the following without absolute value signs, treating various cases separately when necessary.

- (i) $|a + b| - |b|$.
- (ii) $|(|x| - 1)|$.
- (iii) $|x| - |x^2|$.
- (iv) $a - |(a - |a|)|$.

11. Find all numbers x for which

- (i) $|x - 3| = 8$.
- (ii) $|x - 3| < 8$.
- (iii) $|x + 4| < 2$.
- (iv) $|x - 1| + |x - 2| > 1$.
- (v) $|x - 1| + |x + 1| < 2$.

- (vi) $|x - 1| + |x + 1| < 1$.
 (vii) $|x - 1| \cdot |x + 1| = 0$.
 (viii) $|x - 1| \cdot |x + 2| = 3$.

12. Prove the following:

- (i) $|xy| = |x| \cdot |y|$.
 (ii) $\left|\frac{1}{x}\right| = \frac{1}{|x|}$, if $x \neq 0$. (The best way to do this is to remember what $|x|^{-1}$ is.)
 (iii) $\frac{|x|}{|y|} = \left|\frac{x}{y}\right|$, if $y \neq 0$.
 (iv) $|x - y| \leq |x| + |y|$. (Give a very short proof.)
 (v) $|x| - |y| \leq |x - y|$. (A very short proof is possible, if you write things in the right way.)
 (vi) $||x| - |y|| \leq |x - y|$. (Why does this follow immediately from (v)?)
 (vii) $|x + y + z| \leq |x| + |y| + |z|$. Indicate when equality holds, and prove your statement.

13. The maximum of two numbers x and y is denoted by $\max(x, y)$. Thus $\max(-1, 3) = \max(3, 3) = 3$ and $\max(-1, -4) = \max(-4, -1) = -1$. The minimum of x and y is denoted by $\min(x, y)$. Prove that

$$\max(x, y) = \frac{x + y + |y - x|}{2},$$

$$\min(x, y) = \frac{x + y - |y - x|}{2}.$$

Derive a formula for $\max(x, y, z)$ and $\min(x, y, z)$, using, for example

$$\max(x, y, z) = \max(x, \max(y, z)).$$

14. (a) Prove that $|a| = |-a|$. (The trick is not to become confused by too many cases. First prove the statement for $a \geq 0$. Why is it then obvious for $a \leq 0$?)
 (b) Prove that $-b \leq a \leq b$ if and only if $|a| \leq b$. In particular, it follows that $-|a| \leq a \leq |a|$.
 (c) Use this fact to give a new proof that $|a + b| \leq |a| + |b|$.

*15. Prove that if x and y are not both 0, then

$$x^2 + xy + y^2 > 0,$$

$$x^4 + x^3y + x^2y^2 + xy^3 + y^4 > 0.$$

Hint: Use Problem 1.

*16. (a) Show that

$$(x + y)^2 = x^2 + y^2 \quad \text{only when } x = 0 \text{ or } y = 0,$$

$$(x + y)^3 = x^3 + y^3 \quad \text{only when } x = 0 \text{ or } y = 0 \text{ or } x = -y.$$

(b) Using the fact that

$$x^2 + 2xy + y^2 = (x + y)^2 \geq 0,$$

show that $4x^2 + 6xy + 4y^2 > 0$ unless x and y are both 0.

(c) Use part (b) to find out when $(x + y)^4 = x^4 + y^4$.

(d) Find out when $(x + y)^5 = x^5 + y^5$. Hint: From the assumption $(x + y)^5 = x^5 + y^5$ you should be able to derive the equation $x^3 + 2x^2y + 2xy^2 + y^3 = 0$, if $xy \neq 0$. This implies that $(x + y)^3 = x^2y + xy^2 = xy(x + y)$.

You should now be able to make a good guess as to when $(x + y)^n = x^n + y^n$; the proof is contained in Problem 11-63.

17. (a) Find the smallest possible value of $2x^2 - 3x + 4$. Hint: "Complete the square," i.e., write $2x^2 - 3x + 4 = 2(x - 3/4)^2 + ?$
- (b) Find the smallest possible value of $x^2 - 3x + 2y^2 + 4y + 2$.
- (c) Find the smallest possible value of $x^2 + 4xy + 5y^2 - 4x - 6y + 7$.
18. (a) Suppose that $b^2 - 4c \geq 0$. Show that the numbers

$$\frac{-b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4c}}{2}, \quad \frac{-b - \sqrt{b^2 - 4c}}{2}$$

both satisfy the equation $x^2 + bx + c = 0$.

- (b) Suppose that $b^2 - 4c < 0$. Show that there are no numbers x satisfying $x^2 + bx + c = 0$; in fact, $x^2 + bx + c > 0$ for all x . Hint: Complete the square.
- (c) Use this fact to give another proof that if x and y are not both 0, then $x^2 + xy + y^2 > 0$.
- (d) For which numbers α is it true that $x^2 + \alpha xy + y^2 > 0$ whenever x and y are not both 0?
- (e) Find the smallest possible value of $x^2 + bx + c$ and of $ax^2 + bx + c$, for $a > 0$.
19. The fact that $a^2 \geq 0$ for all numbers a , elementary as it may seem, is nevertheless the fundamental idea upon which most important inequalities are ultimately based. The great-granddaddy of all inequalities is the *Schwarz inequality*:

$$x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 \leq \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \sqrt{y_1^2 + y_2^2}.$$

(A more general form occurs in Problem 2-21.) The three proofs of the Schwarz inequality outlined below have only one thing in common—their reliance on the fact that $a^2 \geq 0$ for all a .

- (a) Prove that if $x_1 = \lambda y_1$ and $x_2 = \lambda y_2$ for some number $\lambda \geq 0$, then equality holds in the Schwarz inequality. Prove the same thing if $y_1 = y_2 = 0$. Now suppose that y_1 and y_2 are not both 0, and that there is no

number λ such that $x_1 = \lambda y_1$ and $x_2 = \lambda y_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< (\lambda y_1 - x_1)^2 + (\lambda y_2 - x_2)^2 \\ &= \lambda^2(y_1^2 + y_2^2) - 2\lambda(x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2) + (x_1^2 + x_2^2). \end{aligned}$$

Using Problem 18, complete the proof of the Schwarz inequality.

- (b) Prove the Schwarz inequality by using $2xy \leq x^2 + y^2$ (how is this derived?) with

$$x = \frac{x_i}{\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}}, \quad y = \frac{y_i}{\sqrt{y_1^2 + y_2^2}},$$

first for $i = 1$ and then for $i = 2$.

- (c) Prove the Schwarz inequality by first proving that

$$(x_1^2 + x_2^2)(y_1^2 + y_2^2) = (x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2)^2 + (x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1)^2.$$

- (d) Deduce, from each of these three proofs, that equality holds only when $y_1 = y_2 = 0$ or when there is a number $\lambda \geq 0$ such that $x_1 = \lambda y_1$ and $x_2 = \lambda y_2$.

In our later work, three facts about inequalities will be crucial. Although proofs will be supplied at the appropriate point in the text, a personal assault on these problems is infinitely more enlightening than a perusal of a completely worked-out proof. The statements of these propositions involve some weird numbers, but their basic message is very simple: if x is close enough to x_0 , and y is close enough to y_0 , then $x + y$ will be close to $x_0 + y_0$, and xy will be close to $x_0 y_0$, and $1/y$ will be close to $1/y_0$. The symbol “ ε ” which appears in these propositions is the fifth letter of the Greek alphabet (“epsilon”), and could just as well be replaced by a less intimidating Roman letter; however, tradition has made the use of ε almost sacrosanct in the contexts to which these theorems apply.

20. Prove that if

$$|x - x_0| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad |y - y_0| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} |(x + y) - (x_0 + y_0)| &< \varepsilon, \\ |(x - y) - (x_0 - y_0)| &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

- *21. Prove that if

$$|x - x_0| < \min\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2(|y_0| + 1)}, 1\right) \quad \text{and} \quad |y - y_0| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(|x_0| + 1)},$$

then $|xy - x_0 y_0| < \varepsilon$.

(The notation “min” was defined in Problem 13, but the formula provided by that problem is irrelevant at the moment; the first inequality in the hypothesis just means that

$$|x - x_0| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(|y_0| + 1)} \quad \text{and} \quad |x - x_0| < 1;$$

at one point in the argument you will need the first inequality, and at another point you will need the second. One more word of advice: since the hypotheses only provide information about $x - x_0$ and $y - y_0$, it is almost a foregone conclusion that the proof will depend upon writing $xy - x_0y_0$ in a way that involves $x - x_0$ and $y - y_0$.)

- *22. Prove that if $y_0 \neq 0$ and

$$|y - y_0| < \min\left(\frac{|y_0|}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon|y_0|^2}{2}\right),$$

then $y \neq 0$ and

$$\left|\frac{1}{y} - \frac{1}{y_0}\right| < \varepsilon.$$

- *23. Replace the question marks in the following statement by expressions involving ε , x_0 , and y_0 so that the conclusion will be true:

If $y_0 \neq 0$ and

$$|y - y_0| < ? \quad \text{and} \quad |x - x_0| < ?$$

then $y \neq 0$ and

$$\left|\frac{x}{y} - \frac{x_0}{y_0}\right| < \varepsilon.$$

This problem is trivial in the sense that its solution follows from Problems 21 and 22 with almost no work at all (notice that $x/y = x \cdot 1/y$). The crucial point is not to become confused; decide which of the two problems should be used first, and don't panic if your answer looks unlikely.

- *24. This problem shows that the actual placement of parentheses in a sum is irrelevant. The proofs involve "mathematical induction"; if you are not familiar with such proofs, but still want to tackle this problem, it can be saved until after Chapter 2, where proofs by induction are explained.

Let us agree, for definiteness, that $a_1 + \cdots + a_n$ will denote

$$a_1 + (a_2 + (a_3 + \cdots + (a_{n-2} + (a_{n-1} + a_n))) \cdots).$$

Thus $a_1 + a_2 + a_3$ denotes $a_1 + (a_2 + a_3)$, and $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4$ denotes $a_1 + (a_2 + (a_3 + a_4))$, etc.

- (a) Prove that

$$(a_1 + \cdots + a_k) + a_{k+1} = a_1 + \cdots + a_{k+1}.$$

Hint: Use induction on k .

- (b) Prove that if $n \geq k$, then

$$(a_1 + \cdots + a_k) + (a_{k+1} + \cdots + a_n) = a_1 + \cdots + a_n.$$

Hint: Use part (a) to give a proof by induction on k .

(c) Let $s(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ be some sum formed from a_1, \dots, a_k . Show that

$$s(a_1, \dots, a_k) = a_1 + \dots + a_k.$$

Hint: There must be two sums $s'(a_1, \dots, a_l)$ and $s''(a_{l+1}, \dots, a_k)$ such that

$$s(a_1, \dots, a_k) = s'(a_1, \dots, a_l) + s''(a_{l+1}, \dots, a_k).$$

25. Suppose that we interpret “number” to mean either 0 or 1, and $+$ and \cdot to be the operations defined by the following two tables.

$+$	0	1	\cdot	0	1
0	0	1	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0	1

Check that properties P1–P9 all hold, even though $1 + 1 = 0$.